

DPP Compliance Programme
AMWA AS-11 DPP
Product Test Report (See note 5, on next page)
 DPP Lab, BBC R&D, Centre House, 56 Wood Lane, W12 7SB, UK

OEM	Interra Systems Inc
Product (Note 6)	Baton
Product Version (Note 6)	v6.0.2
Test Report Date	17 March 2015

OVERALL TESTING RESULT	PASS
-------------------------------	-------------

HD Test Artifacts Used	
Writer Functionality	Reader Functionality
File Conformance Test Suite (F1.2) (Note 1, on next page)	File Reader Tests (R1.2, R2.0/2.1/2.2, R3.1) (Note 2, on next page)

F1.2	R2.0
-------------	-------------

Analyser Test Artifacts Used	
MXF Format	DM Validation
PART 1 tests A1.1 (MXF) Documents: See below	PART 2 tests A2.1 (MXF) Documents: See below

A1.1 (MXF)	A2.1 (MXF)
-------------------	-------------------

GENERIC FUNCTION CATEGORIES		Functionality Tested
File Writers	Products that write AS-11 UK DPP HD files. Tests are carried out to determine whether a file written by a device conforms to the AMWA AS-11 UK DPP HD Shim v1.1 as defined by the rules for conformance [available at the link below], as well as the requirements for Descriptive Metadata (DM) http://www.amwa.tv/projects/rules/as-11/	Not applicable
File Readers - R1.2 Players	Products that have the ability to read AS-11 DPP HD files and then play the contents of the file to a video and audio monitor. These devices may additionally include the ability to display Timecode, DM and Programme Parting / Segmentation. It is not a requirement that products should have all possible functionality. Products are only tested for the features that they have.	Not applicable
File Readers - R1.2 Transcoders	Products that have the ability to read AS-11 DPP HD files and then transcode the contents to a different format. Transcoded output files are then tested following the Player testing procedure.	Not applicable
File Readers - R2.0, R2.1, R2.2 Analysers	Products that have the ability to read and analyse AS-11 DPP HD files for MXF and DM are tested for their ability to read basic file information. If it also has the capability to play or transcode then this is additionally tested. MXF analysis and DM validation is tested elsewhere.	Tested
File Readers - R3.1 Specific Products	Products that have the ability to read AS-11 DPP HD files and then render a subset of audio, video and/or DM content to a form suitable for another use. Examples may include audio only monitoring, PSE measurement, etc.	Not applicable
File Analyser - A1.1 (MXF) PART 1 Tests	File Format MXF tests, as per documents: AS-11 UK DPP HD - P1 - MXF Tests for Analysers - A1.1 (MXF) AS-11 UK DPP HD - P3 - Analyser Test Files - A3.1 (FILES) Test files include the set of files testing MXF file format	Tested

File Analyser - A2.1 (MXF) PART 2 Tests	File DM Validation tests, as per documents: AS-11 UK DPP HD - P2 - DM Tests - A2.1 (DM) AS-11 UK DPP HD - P3 - Analyser Test Files - A3.1 (FILES) Test files include the set of files testing DM Validation	Tested
--	--	---------------

AMWA CERTIFICATION AUTHORITY
The AMWA Certification Authority uses these TEST REPORTS as the basis for awarding Certification. Please see the web page below.
http://www.amwa.tv/certification

Template version	v1.2	05 February 2015	Specific Product and Analyser categories
Template version	v1.1	06 February 2015	Release version

NOTES	
Note 1	Writer Functionality, File Conformance Test Suite: This identifies the tests carried out on AS-11 DPP OUTPUTS of the product and describes the file conformance tests used. This document is available from the DPP Compliance page on the DPP website.
Note 2	Reader Functionality, File Reader Tests: This identifies the File Reader Test procedure, including the list of tests carried out by the OEM on their own product, with the results to be noted. This document is available from the DPP Compliance page on the DPP website.
Note 3	Input media used: For Writer tests this identifies the INPUT MEDIA files and / or SDI and metadata sources to be used for the creation of output AS-11 DPP files specified.
Note 4	Input AS-11 DPP files used: For Reader tests this identifies the a set of AS-11 DPP test files that are used as INPUTS to the product.
Note 5	This Product Test Report is also known as the TEST REPORT for the purposes of applying for AMWA Certification.
Note 6	The test results (and any Certificate ultimately issued) will be tied to the version of the product tested. This means that an actual 'release' of a product must be submitted for testing.
Note 7	Certain faults are classed as 'warnings'; certain faults are classed as 'errors' but result in 'Pass with Conditions' rather than 'Fail'. The overall test result takes the worst case result from individual tests. That is, if any individual test result is a 'Fail' then the overall test result is a 'Fail'.

TEST PROCEDURE - Overview	
Writer Test Procedure	<p>Stage 1: Once signed up to the DPP Compliance Programme, the OEM should send some representative file samples to the DPP lab to be tested. The File Conformance Test Report then shows how they performed against the conformance criteria. Individual tests <i>may</i> have one of four outcomes: PASS, WARNING, PASS with CONDITIONS, and FAIL. Some tests may just have PASS or FAIL. If the initial files tested are a 'Fail' then new files will need to be submitted once the product has been updated with a fix for the issue. Once the files are a 'Pass', or 'Pass with Conditions' then the manufacturer can move to step 2 and formally request that the lab test the product at Certification Level.</p> <p>Stage 2: The OEM will need to provide the lab with additional information about the product's functionality and operation using the Initial OEM Product Submission Form. The Lab, in discussion with the OEM, will then agree the method(s) by which the product being tested will create files for Certification Level Testing. Once stage 2 testing has been completed and the Product Test Report (showing Pass or Pass with Conditions) is issued to the OEM. They can then go ahead and apply for Certification from the AMWA. Please note: If the device also includes 'Reader' functionality then this will also require a 'Pass' or 'Pass with Conditions', in order for the Product Test Report to be issued.</p>
Reader Test Procedure	File Reader testing is primarily 'self-serve'. The test procedure may be carried out by the OEM at any time. It principally involves downloading the set of AS-11 UK DPP HD Reader test files and asking the product to read each one, and the OEM recording the results. The ability to do this is assessed by The DPP Test Lab against set criteria which include checks for player functionality, and transcode functionality if present. (This is subject to change as new files and tests are included). A declaration form is to be completed and the results returned to the DPP Lab. Results are verified and if they are a 'Pass' or 'Pass with Conditions' a Product Test Report is issued to the OEM. Please note: If the device also includes ' Writer ' functionality then this will also require a 'Pass' or 'Pass with Conditions', in order for the Product Test Report to be issued.

Analyser Test Procedure	<p>This procedure is concerned with devices whose primary function is File Format Analysis of AS-11 DPP HD files.</p> <p>This functionality, defined in a specific Test Plan or Test Profile, is assessed in two parts: Part 1 (MXF Format) and Part 2 (DM), as described below. A set of test files should be tested and the results captured as described in the Part 3 document. They include tests that the Analyser should be carrying out in order to meet the required Certification Level criteria. The three parts are as follows:</p> <p>PART 1. MXF Format Tests for File Analysers PART 2. Descriptive Metadata (DM) Validation Tests PART 3. File Testing and Reporting (for PART 1 & PART 2)</p> <p>The Analyser test procedure (Parts 1, 2 and 3) may be carried out by the OEM at any time by following the instructions detailed in each document. The range of included tests and capabilities is then assessed by the DPP Compliance Programme against the Certification Level criteria. If these criteria are met then the Analyser will Pass.</p> <p>File Reader functionality should be tested and recorded separately, following the “File Reader Tests for Analysers” instructions, as above. If the device also writes AS-11 DPP HD files then you must complete Writer tests, as above. All tests must ‘Pass’ or ‘Pass with Conditions’ in order for a device to qualify for Certification.</p>
Application to AMWA	<p>Once a Product Test Report has been issued by the DPP, an OEM may follow the AMWA procedure to apply for Certification.</p>

PASS or PASS WITH CONDITIONS	
What it means	<p>The capability of version X of product Y to read and / or write AMWA AS-11 UK DPP HD Shim files has been tested by the DPP Compliance Lab and all the tests performed (as referenced in this report) under the specified “realistic” operating conditions have either “Passed” or “Passed with Conditions”.</p>
What it DOES NOT mean	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) All files produced by a Writer are always fully conformant to the “AMWA AS-11 UK DPP” Shims b) Files from Writers will always work correctly with Readers c) Files from Writers will never be rejected by UK Broadcasters d) All modes and features of the product have been tested

Overall ANALYSER - PART 1 (MXF) Result

(DPP Test Lab review of OEM supplied test results)

PASS**PART 1 - MXF Format Tests for File Analysers
For DPP Compliance Testing of PRODUCT to Certification Level****GENERAL DETAILS**

OEM name	Interra Systems Inc
Product name	Baton
Product version	v6.0.2
Product Test Plan, or Profile, or Template	AS-11 UK DPP HD v1.1
Date of tests	29/01/2015

OUTPUT TEST ARTIFACTS supplied by the OEM

The following output artifacts were supplied and assessed as part of the test process:

TEST METHOD DECLARATION - Completed by OEM and reproduced below;

Completed MXF File Tests Results for all files in the MXF Format test set, as specified in Part 3 (Results) with all results as expected for a Pass.

DECLARATION

"I confirm that the information in this report has been completed honestly and is an accurate representation of the results obtained. Also, that these results provide a fair assessment of the product's ability to read and work with AS-11 DPP files in a way reasonably expected for a product of this type and functionality, and that these results were achieved when using the product in a configuration which would reasonably be regarded as normal operational use."

OVERALL PROCEDURE (OEM Testing to Certification)

A) OEM to follow instructions below for testing **PART 1 (MXF)**

PART 1 (MXF)

- a. The companion requirements for MXF are detailed in **PART 1 (MXF Format Tests for File Analysers)**. Complete all the required sections in this document.
- b. Complete the DECLARATION at the end of the document and return the form to the DPP Compliance Programme (CP) to the email below.
- c. The **PART 1** document will then be reviewed.
- d. The CP will Pass (or Fail) the submitted paperwork.
- e. If it is a Pass then the next stage is to test that the product correctly tests MXF files as detailed in PART 1.
- f. The OEM will be given a download link for a set of test files for testing the detection of issues for a range of MXF features.
- g. The **PART 3: File Testing and Reporting (for PART 1 & PART 2)** document explains how to record test results for PART 1 (MXF) and/or PART 2 (DM) tests.
- h. The results of the file testing (Pass or Fail for each file) should be returned.
- i. The Results will then be reviewed by the CP to determine if the analyser correctly identified each file as a Pass or Fail.

B) OEM to email the File Testing Results for **P1 (MXF)** and **P2 (DM)** to the DPP:
complianceprogramme@digitalproductionpartnership.co.uk

C) The outcome of the review of results for PART 1 and PART 2 will be provided to the OEM. The Test Plan or Test Profile is required to Pass both PART 1 and PART 2 tests.

D) The product also requires "**File Reader Tests for Analysers**" to have been completed. If the device also includes Writer functionality then "**Writer Tests**" should also have been completed. All tests must be a 'Pass' or 'Pass with Conditions' in order for the Product Test Report to be issued.

DOWNLOAD OF TEST FILES

PART 1 - TEST FILES (MXF)

A specific URL will allow you to download **PART 1 (MXF)** test files once the required PART 1 document has been reviewed and passed by the Compliance Programme. You should download all PART 1 test files as we require the device being tested for MXF to assess all files in this set.

FILE TESTING INSTRUCTIONS

1. Select the specific **test plan**, or **profile**, or **tick box** of a specific **version** of your product. This should be what someone buying the product can use operationally. **This is what is being tested.**
2. Test every file in the test set, and record the results for each.
3. The recorded information only has to say whether the test plan/profile declares the file a Pass or a Fail.
4. For ease of assessment by the Compliance Programme, the recorded information for each file should ideally be presented as a ***.csv** file, or simply as a comma separated list. This should be arranged with "*File_name,Result*" and continue in that order. For example:

File 1,Pass
File 2,Fail
File 3,Fail
File 4,Pass
File 6,Fail... etc.

5. The list, or csv file should be sent to the DPP along with the other required information, detailed below.

TEST METHOD DECLARATION - Notes

- There are many aspects of a DPP file that need to be tested by an Analyser, and for each of these there are various methods available for testing that particular aspect. For instance, there are many ways to test that an MXF file is OP1a. Each is valid but they involve very different processes and have different meaning. We'd like to disambiguate this situation by clearly defining exactly how *certain file format tests* are carried out.
- The information about how features are tested will be more useful to users if they know how a product reports the results of those tests. We're not intending to specify this (the EBU is active in this area) but we think it's useful for users to know what error or warning messages they can expect to see.
- This document includes a list of features and for each one, a list of one or more methods that could constitute a test of that feature. **Please note: This list of features is not exhaustive. We expect Analysers will conduct many more tests than are listed here. The DPP HD File Test Suite gives an indication of the areas of interest.**

All of the features listed in the TEST METHOD DECLARATION Table must be tested using an approved method

- In order to pass Certification Level Testing, a product must carry out at least one of the listed methods for each of the features listed here. If a product uses a different, possibly more advanced or indirect method for testing the feature, then it must be described and subsequently approved by the DPP Compliance Lab.
- For each feature, please indicate which of the listed methods is used by the product to test the feature, by typing the method letter(s).. If multiple methods are used, please list all that apply and explain if, and how, their outcomes are combined. Please also tell us how a failure of a particular test will be reported by the product. In the simplest case this will be an error ID of some sort. If the reporting is especially complex, please try to summarise it.

Expected Values

- Our primary interest is in which properties are inspected by a test. However, expected values of properties are also given for information. When values are expressed in hexadecimal form they are prefixed '0x'. 16-byte Universal Labels are also in hexadecimal (without a prefix) with the bytes separated by dots (.). Some values, such as timecodes, may need to be converted to a suitable type for use in MXF.

References to Conformance Rules

- Some features reference a Rule ID from the AMWA AS-11 UK DPP Conformance Rules <http://www.amwa.tv/projects/rules/as-11> which may be of use in providing some context to or explanation of that feature. In some cases the Rules can help to find the ultimate source of the requirement for a particular test.

TEST METHOD DECLARATION - Completed by OEM

Feature 1: Header Partition Status (Rule020)

Method A: Byte 15 of Header Partition Pack Key = 0x04

Do you test this feature?	Yes
Do you use Method A?	Yes
What error is reported for this test?	"Header Partition is Open and Incomplete. Specified criteria: Report as Serious if 'Header Partition' is not Closed and Complete."

Feature 2: MXF Version (Rule000)

Method A: Major Version Property of the Header Partition Pack = 0x0001
and
 Minor Version Property of the Header Partition Pack = 0x0003 or 0x0002
 Method B: Version Property of the Preface = 259 or 258

Do you test this feature?	Yes
Which of the above methods do you use?	Method B
What error is reported for this test?	"MXF version was found to be 1.1. Expected value is 1.3 or 1.2."

Feature 3: KLV Alignment Grid (Rule050)

Method A: KAG Size Property of all Partition Packs = 0x00000001

Do you test this feature?	Yes
Do you use Method A?	Yes
What error is reported for this test?	"The value of KAG Size is 512 for partition starting at offset 0. Specified criteria: Report as Serious if 'KAG Size' more than 1."

Feature 4: Operational Pattern (Rule010)

The Operational Pattern is expected to be: OP-1a, streamable, internal essence
 Method A: Operational Pattern Property of the Header Partition Pack =
 06.0e.2b.34.04.01.01.01.0d.01.02.01.01.01.09.00
 Method B: Operational Pattern Property of the Preface =
 06.0e.2b.34.04.01.01.01.0d.01.02.01.01.01.09.00

Do you test this feature?	Yes
Which of the above methods do you use?	Method B
What error is reported for this test?	"Last four bytes of Operational Pattern UL are '01.01.0b.00'. It should be '01.01.09.00' as per specification."

Feature 5: Number of Partitions that contain Essence (Rule100)

Method A: Count the number of Partitions listed in the RIP that have BodySID != 0; ensure there is only 1
 Method B: Count the number of Partition Packs that have BodySID != 0; ensure there is only 1

Do you test this feature?	Yes
Which of the above methods do you use?	Method B
What error is reported for this test?	"Essence partition strategy is 2. It should be 1 as per shim restriction."

Feature 6: Essence Containers (Rule200, Rule240)

The Essence Container Labels that should be in the file are:

- MXF-GC AVC Byte Stream With VideoStream-0 SID Frame-wrapped
(06.0e.2b.34.04.01.01.0a.0d.01.03.01.02.10.60.01)

and either of:

- MXF-GC Frame-wrapped Broadcast Wave audio data
(06.0e.2b.34.04.01.01.01.0d.01.03.01.02.06.01.00)
- MXF-GC Frame-wrapped AES3 audio data
(06.0e.2b.34.04.01.01.01.0d.01.03.01.02.06.03.00)

You might look for them in any of the places listed below:

- Method A:* Essence Containers Batch in the Header Partition Pack
Method B: Essence Containers Batch in the Preface
Method C: Essence Containers Batch in the Body Partition Pack(s) (if Body Partitions exist)
Method D: Essence Containers Batch in the Footer Partition Pack

Do you test this feature?	Yes
Which of the above methods do you use?	Essence container ULs are read from corresponding picture and sound descriptors present in file. These ULs are compared with the allowed essence container ULs for their validation.
What error is reported for this test?	"Last five bytes of Essence Container UL in Picture Essence Descriptor are '01.02.10.61.01'. It should be '01.02.10.60.01' as per specification. "

Feature 7: Descriptive Metadata Presence

Method A: DMSchemes Batch of the Preface includes all of these:

- AS_11_Core (06.0e.2b.34.04.01.01.01.0d.01.07.01.0b.01.00.00)
- AS_11_Segmentation (06.0e.2b.34.04.01.01.01.0d.01.07.01.0b.02.00.00)
- AS_11_UK DPP (06.0e.2b.34.04.01.01.01.0d.0c.01.01.01.00.00.00)

Do you test this feature?	Yes
Do you use Method A?	Yes. Presence of mandatory DM Schemes AS_11_UK DPP, AS_11_Segmentation and AS_11_Core is checked and error is flagged for their absence.
What error is reported for this test?	"Descriptive Metadata Scheme(s) DM_AS11_UK DPP, DM_AS11_SEGMENTS not present. It should be present."

Feature 8: Number of Picture Tracks in the Material Package (Rule180)

Method A: Count the number of Timeline Tracks in the Material Package that reference Timeline Tracks in the Source Package whose Track Number Property has its first byte equal to 0x15; ensure there is exactly 1

Method B: Count the number of Timeline Tracks in the Material Package that reference a Structural Component whose Data Definition is "Picture Essence Track" (06.0e.2b.34.04.01.01.01.01.03.02.02.01.00.00.00); ensure there is exactly 1

Do you test this feature?	Yes
Which of the above methods do you use?	Method B (Count the number of Material package tracks whose track type property has value "Picture")
What error is reported for this test?	"Video track count in material package is 2. It should be 1 as per specification"

Feature 9: Number of Sound Tracks in the Material Package (Rule210)

Method A: Count the number of Timeline Tracks in the Material Package that reference Timeline Tracks in the Source Package whose Track Number Property has its first byte equal to 0x16; ensure there are exactly 4 or exactly 16

Method B: Count the number of Timeline Tracks in the Material Package that reference a Structural Component whose Data Definition is "Sound Essence Track" (06.0e.2b.34.04.01.01.01.01.03.02.02.02.00.00.00); ensure there are exactly 4 or exactly 16

Do you test this feature?	Yes
Which of the above methods do you use?	Method B (Count the number of Material package tracks whose track type property has value "Sound")
What error is reported for this test?	"Audio track count in material package is 8. It should be 4 or 16 as per specification"

Feature 10: Sound Track numbering (Rule250)

Method A: Record the Track Number Property of each of the Timeline Tracks in the Material Package that reference Timeline Tracks in the Source Package whose Track Number Property has a first byte equal to 0x16; ensure these are each unique, and between 1 and the number of Track Numbers being considered.

Method B: Record the Track Number Property of each of the Timeline Tracks in the Material Package that reference a Structural Component whose Data Definition is "Sound Essence Track" (06.0E.2B.34.04.01.01.01.01.03.02.02.02.00.00.00); ensure these are each unique, and between 1 and the number of Track Numbers being considered.

Do you test this feature?	Yes
Which of the above methods do you use?	Method B (We check the Track Number property of the tracks in Material Package having Track type as "Sound" is consistent and unique)
What error is reported for this test?	"Track Number of Sound Track in Material Package is not consistent. It should be unique, between 1 and count(Sound Tracks)."

Feature 11: Minimum average (mean) file data rate

Such a test can give an early indication if the file is of an incorrect type, or has been corrupted.

A calculation of mean file data rate based on the total file size as reported by the operating system, divided by the duration, which may be found, in terms of edit units (frames), in the Duration Property of:

Method A: The Timecode Component of the Timecode Track in the Material Package

Method B: The Sequence referenced by the Timecode Track in the Material Package

Method C: The Timecode Component of the Timecode Track in the Source Package

Method D: The Sequence referenced by the Timecode Track in the Source Package

Method E: The Sequence referenced by an (please state which) Essence Track in the Material Package

Method F: The Source Clip referenced by an (please state which) Essence Track in the Material Package

Method G: The Source Clip referenced by an (please state which) Essence Track in the Source Package

Or in the Container Duration Property of:

Method H: The Multiple Descriptor

Method I: The Picture Essence Descriptor

Method J: Any (please state which) of the Sound Essence Descriptors

Or by counting:

Method K: The number of Content Packages using the method described in the "Note" at the foot of Section 8.3 of SMPTE ST 379-2:2010

An analyser must check that the mean file data rate is **at least:**

- 14.799 MB/s for 4 channel sound, and
- 16.533 MB/s for 16 channel sound.

(MB = 10⁶ Bytes).

An analyser may also test for a 'maximum' data rate but this is not defined here and not a requirement of these tests.

Do you test this feature?	Yes
Which of the above methods do you use to find file Duration?	By Method C, and if Method C is not possible then by Method A.
What error is reported for this test?	"File bitrate is 105.29 Mbps. It should not be less than 118.39 Mbps as per specification."

Feature 12: Footer Partition presence (Rule000, Rule010)

The Key for a Footer Partition Pack is 06.0e.2b.34.02.05.01.01.0d.01.02.01.01.04.04.00

Method A: Seek to the byte offset given in the Footer Partition Property of the Header Partition Pack (if it is non-zero), and ensure that the Key found there is that of a Footer Partition Pack

Method B: Seek to the byte offset given by the last Partition listed in the Random Index Pack, and ensure that the Key found there is that

Do you test this feature?	Yes
Which of the above methods do you use?	The file is read partition by partition to extract essence data from file. While reading partitions, partition pack key is checked for presence of Footer Partition.
What error is reported for this test?	"Footer Partition was not present in MXF file. It should be present."

Feature 13: Random Index Pack presence (Rule040)

Method A: Implement the algorithm in Section 12.3 of SMPTE ST 377-1:2011:

```

Seek_to_(MXF_FILE, END_OF_FILE-4); //go to end of the MXF file
L= read_UInt32(MXF_FILE); //read the length
If (L < UPPER_LIMIT) //check for silly values
{
    Seek_to_(MXF_FILE, END_OF_FILE-L); //Go to start of Random Index Pack
    RIP= Read_RIP(MXF_FILE); //Read the Random Index Pack
    RIP_EXISTS= Check_Key(RIP); //Final check that it was a valid RIP
}
    
```

Do you test this feature?	Yes
Do you use Method A?	Yes
What error is reported for this test?	"The Random Index Pack in the MXF stream was found to be absent. Restriction specified: Random Index Pack should be present."

Feature 14: Essence Descriptors

The Properties in the following tables are all mandatory for the Descriptors in question. The allowed values for those Properties are listed. **Please confirm**, for each property, that your product tests for **presence** of the field (P) and validity of the **value** (V).

Picture Essence Descriptors permitted: (Rule200, Rule190)

The MPEG 2 Video Descriptor Key is 06.0e.2b.34.02.53.01.01.0d.01.01.01.01.01.51.00

Property	Allowed Values	P	V	Error Reported
Essence Container	MXF-GC AVC Byte Stream With VideoStream-0SID Frame-wrapped	Y	Y	
	(06.0E.2B.34.04.01.01.01.0D.01.03.01.02.10.60.01)			
Sample Rate	25-Jan	Y	Y	
Frame Layout	separate_fields (1)	Y	Y	
Stored Width	1920	Y	Y	
Stored Height	540 or 544	Y	Y	
Aspect Ratio	16x9	Y	Y	
Active Format Descriptor	9 (0x4c) or 10 (0x54) or 14 (0x74)	Y	Y	
Video Line Map	21, 584	Y	Y	
Component Depth	10	Y	Y	
Horizontal Subsampling	2	Y	Y	
Picture Essence Coding	H.264/MPEG-4 AVC High 422 Intra RP2027 Constrained Class 100 1080/50i Coding	Y	Y	
	(06.0E.2B.34.04.01.01.01.0A.04.01.02.02.01.32.31.02)			

Sound Essence Descriptors permitted: (Rule240, Rule230)

The Wave Audio Essence Descriptor Key is 06.0e.2b.34.02.53.01.01.0d.01.01.01.01.01.48.00

or:

The AES3 Audio Essence Descriptor Key is 06.0e.2b.34.02.53.01.01.0d.01.01.01.01.01.47.00

Property	Allowed Values	P	V	Error Reported
Essence Container	MXF-GC Frame-wrapped Broadcast Wave audio data	Y	Y	
	(06.0E.2B.34.04.01.01.01.0D.01.03.01.02.06.01.00)			
	or			
	MXF-GC Frame-wrapped AES3 audio data			
Sample Rate	48000/1 or 25/1	Y	Y	
	48000/1			
Audio Sampling Rate	48000/1	Y	Y	
Channel Count	1	Y	Y	
Quantisation Bits	24	Y	Y	
Average Bytes Per Second	144000	Y	Y	

Feature 15: Index Table precedes Essence (Rule060)

There are a multitude of sensible methods for this test. Implementing an exhaustive test requires in-depth understanding of the scope of complexity for Essence wrapping and indexing permitted by the specifications. As such, we don't require analysers to implement an exhaustive test. We'd like you to declare what your method is for conducting this test.

As a minimum analysers must ensure that at least one Index Table Segment is before the Essence in the file. When combined with the other tests set out here (Essence is in a single Partition) we consider this to be sufficient.

Do be aware of the some of the pitfalls in this area:

- Checking which Partition the Index Table and Essence reside in (based on the IndexSID and BodySID properties of the Partition Packs) is insufficient. It is valid for the Index Table and Essence to be in the same Partition – an additional check of the order in which they appear
- Index Table Segments appearing *after* the Essence is not always invalid. It is permitted to repeat an Index Table after the Essence.

<i>Do you test this feature?</i>	Yes
<i>How have you implemented this test?</i>	"We read the MXF file partition by partition. The presence of Index Table and Essence is checked in each partition to find whether Index table is present before essence. "
<i>Do you explicitly check that an Index Table Segment appears before the Essence?</i>	Yes, presence of Index table before essence is explicitly checked it is not dependent on location, segmentation or repetition of the Index table.
<i>What error is reported for this test?</i>	Index Table was present after Essence Container. It should be present before Essence Container."

Overall ANALYSER - PART 2 (DM) Result (DPP Test Lab review of OEM supplied test results)	PASS
--	-------------

**PART 2 - Descriptive Metadata (DM) Validation Tests
 For DPP Compliance Testing of PRODUCT to Certification Level**

6a Table 1 - GENERAL DETAILS (OEM to complete)	
OEM name	Interra Systems Inc.
Product name	Baton
Product version	v6.0.2
Product Test Plan, or Profile, or Template	AS-11 UK DPP HD v1.1
Date of tests	20/02/2015

6f Output test artifacts supplied by the OEM
<p>The following output artifacts were supplied and assessed as part of the test process:</p> <p>Completed DM File Tests Results for all files in the DM validation test set, as specified in Part 3 (Results) with all results as expected for a Pass.</p>

DECLARATION
<p>"I confirm that the information in this report has been completed honestly and is an accurate representation of the results obtained. Also, that these results provide a fair assessment of the product's ability to read and work with AS-11 DPP files in a way reasonably expected for a product of this type and functionality, and that these results were achieved when using the product in a configuration which would reasonably be regarded as normal operational use."</p>

OVERALL PROCEDURE (OEM Testing to Certification)

A) OEM to follow instructions below for testing **PART 2 (DM)**

PART 2 (DM)

- a. The requirements for Descriptive Metadata testing are described in this document: **PART 2: Descriptive Metadata (DM) Validation Tests**. In particular, Section 5 of the PART 2 document describes the details provided in various files all contained together in a zip file: as_11_ukdpp-dm_conformance-v1.0.0.zip. A download link is provided for this zip file.
- b. A download link is also provided for a set of DM test files which are to be used by the Analyser for testing the detection of a range of DM issues.
- c. The **PART 3: File Testing and Reporting (for PART 1 & PART 2)** document explains how to record test results for PART 1 (MXF) and/or PART 2 (DM) tests.
- d. The results of the file testing (Pass or Fail for each file) should be returned.
- e. The Results will then be reviewed by the CP to determine if the analyser correctly identified each file as a Pass or Fail.

B) OEM to email the File Testing Results for **P2 (DM)** to the DPP: complianceprogramme@digitalproductionpartnership.co.uk

C) The outcome of the review of results for PART 1 and PART 2 will be provided to the OEM. The Test Plan or Test Profile is required to Pass both PART 1 and PART 2 tests.

D) The product also requires “**File Reader Tests for Analysers**” to have been completed. If the device also includes Writer functionality then “**Writer Tests**” should also have been completed. All tests must be a ‘Pass’ or ‘Pass with Conditions’ in order for the Product Test Report to be issued.

DOWNLOAD OF TEST FILES

PART 2 - TEST FILES (DM)

A specific URL will allow you to download **PART 2 (DM)** test files, as well as a zip folder containing PART 2 information on required DM tests. You should download all PART 2 test files as we require the device being tested for descriptive metadata to assess all files in this set.

FILE TESTING INSTRUCTIONS

1. Select the specific **test plan**, or **profile**, or **tick box** of a specific **version** of your product. This should be what someone buying the product can use operationally. **This is what is being tested.**
2. Test every file in the test set, and record the results for each.
3. The recorded information only has to say whether the test plan/profile declares the file a Pass or a Fail.
4. For ease of assessment by the Compliance Programme, the recorded information for each file should ideally be presented as a ***.csv** file, or simply as a comma separated list. This should be arranged with “*File_name,Result*” and continue in that order. For example:

File 1,Pass
File 2,Fail
File 3,Fail
File 4,Pass
File 6,Fail... etc.

5. The list, or csv file should be sent to the DPP along with the other required information, detailed below.

These instructions refer to the contents of the zip file package:

[as_11_ukdpp-dm_conformance-v1.0.0.zip](#)

AS-11 UK DPP Descriptive Metadata Schemes

Introduction

This package describes technical details of the Descriptive Metadata (DM) Schemes to be included in AS-11 UK DPP files. It has been developed as part of the DPP Compliance Programme and supersedes the details published previously -- most importantly it supersedes the details included in the AMWA AS-11 Specification PDF and associated spreadsheet (which are known to contain a number of errors as well as some ambiguities). The details included in this package will be integrated into the AS-11 UK DPP Conformance Rules which are available (currently in draft form) here: <http://www.amwa.tv/projects/rules/as-11/>

This package focuses on technical details of the DM and does not seek to replace all the useful guidance documents published by the DPP and others on how to manage the DPP metadata as part of the production workflow, how to source values for metadata properties, etc.

Package Contents

[smpte_metadata_registers_entries](#)

SMPTE are working to publish Types, Groups, Elements and Labels metadata registers as XML. Currently published registers are available here: <http://www.smp-te-ra.org/>

The XML files included in this directory contain draft (as yet unpublished) metadata register entries related to the AS-11 UK DPP descriptive metadata schemes as well as some additional entries to which they refer. The XML schemas used are unlikely to change prior to publication but have not yet been formally ratified. However, they have been designed to represent the required information for each register as set out in the relevant SMPTE standards:

- ST 335:2012
- ST 395:2014
- ST 400:2012
- ST 2003:2012

[as_11_ukdpp--summary_view.html](#) provides an informative summary of how each DM scheme is composed.

Note that the AS-11 / DPP entries do not currently contain definitions. These are being finalised and will be added shortly (and certainly prior to final publication of the entries by SMPTE).

tests

The files in this directory specify additional constraints on the DM in the form of tests. These are divided into two sub-directories:

- `as_11_ukdpp_tests` -- covers constraints that arise from the AMWA AS-11 specification beyond what is covered by the SMPTE metadata registers entries
- `ukdpp_delivery_spec_tests` -- covers *selected* key constraints that arise from the DPP Broadcast Delivery specification

These additional constraints are expressed here in Python (developed for Python version 2.7). It is hoped that this will be as simple to read and understand as pseudo code, yet will describe logical intent without ambiguity. It also has the benefit of being executable and so forms what could be considered a reference implementation regarding DM validation. Note that the code has been designed to enhance the clarity of the test definitions rather than for efficient or compact code. Practical implementations are likely to use a different approach.

helper_files

Contains files that define classes and functions (using Python) that are used in the definitions of the tests.

Products with a DM Analyser capability taking part in the DPP Compliance Programme

These products are referred to "Analysers" in this documentation.

Analysers are required to check the DM in each analysed MXF file for full conformance with the contents of this package. This includes:

- conformance with the SMPTE metadata registers entries -- see Appendix A
- conformance with the constraints arising from AS-11 -- see Appendix B
- conformance with the selected key constraints arising from the DPP Broadcast Delivery specification -- see Appendix B

General Principles

All Elements / properties that are "present" in an MXF file must be "valid" (and so must pass all the tests specified).

An Element / property is considered to be "present" in the MXF file if its 2-byte tag is present in the relevant KLV

Appendix A -- Testing conformance to the SMPTE metadata registers entries

Each Framework present in the file must be tested for conformance with the SMPTE metadata registers entries provided. This includes checking that:

- every Element in the Framework with `IsOptional==false` is "present" in the Framework's KLV set
- the value of each Element can be decoded as a valid instance of the stated Type (see below for more on Types)

- the stated string length restrictions (ValueLength) are not exceeded. In determining the "length" of a string the number of Unicode "code points" that it contains must be counted. Note that in various scenarios "code points" do not equate to what are commonly referred to as "characters" -- converting a list of "code points" into a list of "characters" is not always straightforward. Therefore, the stated limit of "x characters maximum" must be implemented as "x Unicode code points maximum". In the Python framework used in this package (see below for more details), a suitable statement would be `CHECK(len(AS_11_Series_Title) <= 127)`. Note that this limit is certainly not on the number of bytes used for the value of the Element / property.
- the value of each Element / property with Type ISO_639_2_Language_Code is a string constituting a valid ISO 639-2 language code. It is sufficient to check that the string consists of three characters (in practice three Unicode "code points" -- see above) but more comprehensive checking would be beneficial.

Notes:

- The Elements / properties may occur in the KLV set in any order

Appendix B -- Understanding the additional tests specified as Python code

All the tests specified in this package must be performed by an Analyser on the DM in each MXF file analysed. This Appendix describes how the Python variables etc need to be initialised from an MXF file in order for the provided code to function correctly.

For each Element listed in the SMPTE registers entry for both the DM_AS_11_Core_Framework and the DM_AS_11_UKDPP_Framework define a variable:

- The name of the variable must be set as the Symbol of the Element.
- The value of the variable must be None (i.e. the special Python object) if the Element / property is considered to be "absent" from the relevant KLV set in the MXF file (see above). Otherwise the value stored in the MXF file must be converted into the relevant Python data type (see below).

Define a variable called AUDIO_CHANNEL_COUNT and set its value (integer) to the number of audio channels in the MXF file (note: this is not the same as the number of MXF Sound Tracks in the case of the UK DPP SD Shim).

Define a variable called FILE_DURATION and set its value (integer) to the duration of the Material Package (measured in Edit Units). There are numerous ways to determine this value e.g. the duration of the Picture Track in the Material Package could be used. Do NOT use the duration of the Segmentation Track.

Define a variable called MATERIAL_PACKAGE_TIMECODE_TRACK_START and set its value (integer) to the Start Timecode of the Timecode Track in the Material Package (which is expressed as an integer frame count from 00:00:00:00).

Define a variable called SEGMENTATION_TRACK to represent the Segmentation Track. Here we use a much simplified model of the Segmentation Track described in AMWA AS-11, which is an instance of the MXF Timeline Track (DM). It is represented as a Python List of locally defined DMSegment and Filler objects -- these are added to the Python list in the same order as they appear on the Segmentation Track in the MXF file. These are simple objects each with a duration attribute. The DMSegment has an additional framework attribute, which is an object of type DM_AS_11_Segmentation_Framework. This framework object contains the AS_11_Part_Number and AS_11_Part_Total elements of the AS-11 Segmentation Framework. These Python Classes are defined in `as_11_ukdpp_dm_classes.py`.

DM accuracy

Descriptive Metadata must accurately describe the content to which it is linked. The file `dm_accuracy.py` includes a set of tests that Analysers must implement, but this list is not exhaustive. Note however, that there are cases where the presence or value of a DM property is deliberately only partially constrained. Where this is the case it will be highlighted and explained with a code comment. It is important that Analysers **do not** implement further logic in these cases as it could hamper interoperability.

Shim specific tests

Note that only one of the two Shim specific test files will be relevant for each MXF file: either `as_11_ukdpp_hd_shim_delivery_constraints.py` or `as_11_ukdpp_sd_shim_delivery_constraints.py`

Data Types

UTF16String

Values of Type `UTF16String` are stored as Python Unicode strings. `UTF16String` values are assumed to have been read from the MXF file according to Section 4.3 of SMPTE ST 377-1:2011, terminating before (and discarding) any null character. Note that no other characters appearing before the null character (such as whitespace or non-printable characters) are removed. If an Element / property of type `UTF16String` is considered "present" but the above processing of the value stored in the MXF file results in zero characters in the string, the corresponding variable shall be assigned the value "" i.e. an empty string. Although not required, it may be useful for an Analyser (or other device) to warn a user if a string appears to be "undesirable" -- for example, if it contains leading or trailing whitespace, certain "control code" characters, etc.

Note: `ISO_639_2_Language_Code` is a "rename" (roughly an alias) of the `UTF16String` Type and so must be treated as for `UTF16String` during parsing.

Integers

All signed and unsigned integer types used in MXF are represented here as Python integers.

Note: `PositionType` and `LengthType` are both "renames" of `Int64` and so must be treated as for `Int64` during parsing.

Booleans

The values of MXF Booleans are represented by the Python built-in constants `True` and `False`. Note that in MXF a Boolean is a single byte, which evaluates to `True` for **all cases** except `0x00` (in which case it evaluates to `False`).

Record Types (Rational, VersionType, TimeStamp)

Any value of a Record TypeKind is represented here as a Python Dictionary. For each Facet an item is added to the Python Dictionary with a key equal to that of the `Symbol` of the Facet. The value for this key is set to the parsed value of the relevant portion of the Element / property value.

Enumerations (numerous)

All of the Enumerations used are integer enumerations. Any value of an Enumeration Element / property is stored as a Python integer. For each Type with TypeKind Enumeration a variable is defined for each Facet of the Type with:

- a name equal to that of the Facet `Symbol`
- a value equal to that of the Facet `Value`

Overall READER Result (DPP Test Lab review of OEM supplied test results)	PASS
--	-------------

FILE READER TEST results - For DPP Compliance Testing of PRODUCT to Certification Level

6a Table 1 - GENERAL DETAILS (OEM to complete)	
OEM name	Interra Systems Inc.
Product name	Baton
Product version	v5.4 > inc v6.0.2 [DPP Labe note]
Date of tests	30th Sept, 2014

6b Table 2 - PRODUCT DESCRIPTION and CAPABILITIES (OEM to complete)	
Brief description of product / product type	Baton Automated Content Verification Software
What are its primary functions in relation to AS-11 UK DPP Reader tests? Please list the main ones.	We check DPP content for any possible conformance issue along with comprehensive audio/video quality checking.
Does the device read and analyse the MXF file structure of the AS-11 UK DPP file?	Yes
Does the device render both video and audio from the AS-11 DPP file for use by the device?	Since we are analyzer, we don't render audio/video.
Analysers functionality: Does the device report the outputs from MXF format analysis? If so, how is this presented to the user and/or made available?	Yes. Output report is available in multiple formats: XML, HTML, PDF, Excel.
Analysers functionality: Does the device read AS-11 DM (descriptive metadata) and/or UK DPP DM? If so how is this used and displayed?	We read AS-11/UK DPP DM. We check it for any possible conformance issues. Report contains these errors and also the extracted metadata .
Analysers functionality: Does the device carry out AS-11 UK DPP validation of DM as part of its analyser functionality?	Yes, we do this.
Analysers functionality: Does the device read AS-11 UK DPP programme segmentation / programme parting? If so how is this used and displayed?	Not supported
Player functionality <i>if present</i> : Does the device include the ability to render to video on to a display? If so how is this presented to the display?	NA
Player functionality <i>if present</i> : Does the device include the ability to decoded audio to outputs suitable for monitoring purposes?	NA
Transcode functionality <i>if present</i> : Does the device render the AS-11 DPP video to a different file format (Transcoded) as part of its operation?	NA
Transcode functionality if present: Does the device render the video and/or audio contents of AS-11 DPP file to a new AS-11 DPP file (modified or 'corrected') as part of its operation?	NA

6f Output test artifacts supplied by the OEM
The following output artifacts were supplied and assessed as part of the test process: Completed File Reader Tests results for all files in the Reader test set, with all results as expected for a Pass; *.png files displaying DM were also supplied for required files in the Reader test set.

DECLARATION	
7 DECLARATION	<p>The detailed test results for File Reader Tests, and the resulting overall READER result, is based on information provided by the OEM in self testing. When submitting the detailed test results the OEM representative signed the following declaration confirming that they agreed to the statement below. The details were then reviewed by the DPP Test Lab to determine the overall READER result shown at the top of this page.</p> <p>"I confirm that the information in this report has been completed honestly and is an accurate representation of the results obtained. Also, that these results provide a fair assessment of the product's ability to read and work with AS-11 DPP files in a way reasonably expected for a product of this type and functionality, and that these results were achieved when using the product in a configuration which would reasonably be regarded as normal operational use."</p>